1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 MICHAEL MARTIN, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. 3:23-cv-05039-TMC-BAT 9 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR v. 10 RECONSIDERATION AND STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION CHERYL STRANGE, 11 TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO RENEW Defendant. 12 **MOTION TO AMEND BY OCTOBER 4, 2023** 13 Plaintiff moves to reconsider the denial of appointed counsel. Dkt. 23. The Court has 14 twice denied plaintiff's motions to appoint counsel. See Dkts. 13, 18. The Court **DENIES** 15 plaintiff's motion to reconsider the denial of appointed counsel for the reasons previously stated. 16 See Dkts. 13, 18. 17 Plaintiff moves for a second time to amend the complaint. Dkt. 24. The Court STRIKES 18 the motion with leave to renew by October 4, 2023, because plaintiff did not follow the Court's 19 instructions. The Court informed Plaintiff: "The proposed, amended complaint will serve as a 20 substitute for, not a supplement to, the original complaint; as such, it should set forth all of 21 the claims asserted." Dkt. 21, at 1. Plaintiff instead submitted a two-page document that sets 22 23 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO RENEW MOTION TO AMEND BY OCTOBER 4, 2023

forth additional defendants to be added to the original complaint. Dkt. 24. Were the Court to take the two-page, combined motion plus amended complaint at face value, it would recommend dismissal of the action for failure to state a claim; were the Court to deny the motion to amend, then the original complaint contains none of the proposed, additional defendants. Plaintiff is informed that the "motion to amend" is a separate document from the attached "proposed, amended complaint," and that his renewed motion to amend should include **both** documents (motion **plus** attached amended complaint). The amended complaint should be at least as complete in setting forth his claims as was his original complaint.

The Court reiterates that if plaintiff moves to amend the complaint by **October 4, 2023**, he must **attach** to the motion a proposed, amended complaint that will serve **as a substitute for**,

The Court reiterates that if plaintiff moves to amend the complaint by **October 4, 2023**, he must **attach** to the motion a proposed, amended complaint that will serve **as a substitute for, not a supplement to**, the original complaint; as such, **the proposed, amended complaint should set forth all of the claims** such that the original complaint need not be read to understand the lawsuit. If the Court grants leave to file the amended complaint, it will revise the pretrial deadlines accordingly. *See* Dkt. 15.

DATED this 12th day of September, 2023.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO RENEW MOTION TO AMEND BY OCTOBER 4, 2023